

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,231	10/803,231 03/17/2004		Shigeaki Yamanaka	04132/LH ·	5636
1933	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
		Z, GOODMAN &	BONK, TERESA		
220 Fifth Av 16TH Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708				3725	
	•			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		J				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/803,231	YAMANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Teresa M. Bonk	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/17/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	ed.				
Attachment(s)		,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date $1-6.05$: $3-17-04$	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 1, 2006.

With regards to applicant's request for examination of claims 14, 16, and 17, it noted that through the election of Group 1 it is understood that claims 6-19 are withdrawn. Even though claims 14, 16, and 17 depend from the claims in Group 1 they were not elected; and therefore will not be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. (US Patent 5,218,853). Mueller et al. discloses a method of forming a hollow stepped shaft (54) comprising the steps of holding an upper and lower part axially of a solid rod-like blank (48) with an upper (14) and a lower die (16), respectively, which have a stepped recess of large diameter in a region thereof where they are opposed to each other (cavities 18 and 20); compressing the blank from both its axially opposite sides with an upper (26) and a lower punch

Application/Control Number: 10/803,231

Art Unit: 3725

(28) each of which is smaller in diameter than the blank (Figure 1) at least one of which is moving, thereby extruding the blank so that an axial hollow is formed therein about its axis in each of the upper and lower parts and that a portion of the blank opposed to the stepped recess of the large diameter expands in diameter (Column 4, lines26-36) and deforms into the recess while leaving a solid plug-like portion (slug 52). Further compressively moving the punches to shear the solid plug-like portion and force it out of the blank (Column 4, lines 67-68 and Column 5, lines 1-7). The blank is loaded into the dies, which are in a closed die-fastened state, and thereafter extrusion of the blank is performed with the punches (Figure 2). The blank is loaded into the dies, which are in an open die-unfastened state, and thereafter extrusion of the blank are performed with the punches while the dies are being closed and fastened (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,231 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al. in view of Inoue (US Patent 5,0088,311). Mueller et al. discloses the invention as claimed except for the method further comprising a further step wherein the hollow stepped shaft is further formed in another die set to impart an additional outer contour with a mandrel inserted. Inoue discloses a method of making a hollow stepped shaft comprising a further step wherein the hollow stepped shaft is further formed in another die set to impart an additional outer contour with a mandrel (Claim 1 and Figures 14 and 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further form Mueller et al. invention's with a mandrel in order to achieve a desired product.

Conclusion

5: The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and further show the state of the art.

US Patents 4,299,112; 4,932,251; and 4,653,310

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa M. Bonk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1901. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5PM with alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,231

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teresa M. Bonk Examiner Art Unit 3725

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700